PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
To:	PCT		
see Form PCT/ISA/220	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see Form PCT/ISA/220	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. International filing da PCT/DE2004/001333 06/24/2004	ate (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 06/24/2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classificat	fication and IPC		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis citations and explanations supporting Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international ap Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internation 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") exother than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA had opinions of this International Searching Authority will not If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a write	egard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability 1.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; 2.2 such statement 2.3 such statement 2.4 pplication 2.5 made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority as notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written be so considered. 3. tten opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA ants, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/	Authorized officer Gerdes, R.		
Facsimile No. 2 1321879305	Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2547		

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/001333

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	nat of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:
		

J

International application No. PCT/DE2004/001333

1.
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)). Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. 2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. 3. Additional observations, if necessary:
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. 2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. 3. Additional observations, if necessary:
invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. 3. Additional observations, if necessary:
Gerdes, R.

, d

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001333

Box No.	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	tions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially e have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
	claims Nos. 8-11
becau	
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	8_11
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
	the written form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form has not been furnished
	does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/001333

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Statement Novelty (N)	Claims	4-6		YES	
	Claims	1-3,7		NO	
Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-7		YES NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	-		YES NO	
Citations and explanations:					
see appended sheet					
	•				